



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/900,360 07/25/97 OBRECHT

W 2569-0103P

002292 TM02/0604
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

MEINECKE DIAZ, S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2163

DATE MAILED:

06/04/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Interview Summary

Application No.

08/900,360

Applicant(s)

Obrecht

Examiner

Susanna M. Díaz

Group Art Unit

2163

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Susanna Meinecke-Díaz (Examiner)

(3) _____

(2) Elliot Goldberg (Reg. No. 33,347)

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jun 4, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

☒ d) written

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The Applicant's representative has written to inquire when the actual date of mailing of the Examiner's Answer is (paper no. 21). Applicant's representative states that confusion arose because there is a stamped date on the Examiner's Answer itself and a subsequent mailing date on the cover letter sent out to the Applicant. The date stamped on the Examiner's Answer is for purposes internal to the PTO. The cover letter lists the official mailing date (which is May 7, 2001, the later of the two dates in question); therefore, the Examiner hereby confirms the mailing date of the Examiner's Answer to be May 7, 2001. No extension of time will be granted since this date is clear from the cover letter.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

TARIQ R. HAFIZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.